

NOV 2 1 2006
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

November 12, 2006

Mark R. Williams 682 S. 7th St. San Jose, CA 95112

e-mail: markrw@sprynet.com

(408) 971-0958 home (408) 206-6910 cell

Customer #35667

RECEIVED NOV.2 1 2006

Trebnology Cepter 2100

Dear Sir/Madam:

PO Box 1450

Commissioner for Patents

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

This letter regards patent application 09/652,387. I am writing to beg for your help!

I would like to understand why there has been no activity for the past 2 years on my petition to revive my patent application. The handling by the PTO does not make sense to me.

- 06/2004: I wrote to explain that the examiner was misinterpreting "commercial message" as a data type, when I intended it to be understood as an "advertising message".
- 07/2004: The examiner wrote that it would require continued examination.
- 09/2004: I submitted an RCE, noted as received by the PTO.
- 11/2004: The examiner sent an abandonment notice. Why? I had just responded with the RCE.
- 01/2005: I entered a petition to revive the application, noted as received by the PTO.

To-date: I have seen no further activity.

Neck Rtville

Please - What can I do to get this application back on-track?

Regards,

Mark R. Williams

and the second of the second o

The State of the experience of the state of

r Gellan – Kan Urri, 22,6 v. margini, saprat, 1. j. e.j.a.

· 1907年新期的1948年第二年的1954年的85年(1954年)第二年的1954年期(1954年)

CM 14 4 A 1940 Bristonia (C. Carristo) Common Superior Construction